

Minutes of the 2nd Meeting of the 2014/2015 University Students' Council of the University of Western Ontario held on July 20, 2014 in Council Chambers, Room 315, University Community Centre

Note: This meeting can be viewed in full or in part via streaming video at <http://www.usc.uwo.ca/government/council/meetings/index.asp>.

1. CALL TO ORDER

The Speaker called the meeting to order at 9:41 a.m.

2. O CANADA

The Speaker led Council in the singing of O Canada.

3. WESTERN SCHOOL SONG

The Speaker led Council in the singing of the Western School Song.

4. ATTENDANCE

Present

Addison, Emily

Bonofiglio, Michelle

Carman, Jake

Carter, Jen

Chen, Alex

Crich, Laura

David, Heather

Dodgson, Derrick

English, Jonathan

Ezz, Moustafa

Gene, Mathew

Goodfield, Taylor

Grainger, Tom

Helfand, Matt

Henshaw, Mark

Ibrahim, Mays

Jennings, Morgan

Khan, Michaela

Leung, Eugene

Litchfield, Jack

Nash, Elizabeth

Onete, Daniel

Saravanabavan, Sujen

Scripnick, Taryn

Shakfa, Noor

Singh, Arjun

Sookraj, Richard

Suleman, Saleh

Yau, Sabrina

Absent With Regrets

Amoah, Rebecca

Argent, Thomas

Armstrong, Kaitlyn

Brandreth, Siobbhan

Buckle, Ashley

Castillo, David

Chen, Michelle

Ferguson, Sophia

Germain, Emma

Hunt, Trevor

Jacobs, Kevin

Lee, John

Macklin, Jillian

Malhotra, Harshika

Palin, Brandon

Schoenhoff, Stephanie

Sussman, Drew

Sussman, Nate

Yang, Megan

Absent Without Regrets

Adsett, Braden
Ainsworth, Samuel
Bhatt, Viraj
Jenner, Cortney
Lesarge, Jonathan
Niesel, Christopher
Sakellis, Alex
Wolfe, Nicholas
Zhang, Johnny

Non-Voting Members and Observers

Andrew Lalka, Vice-President Finance
Sam Kilgour- Vice-President Student Programming
Emerson Tithecott- Vice-President Communications
Iain Boekhoff- Editor-in-chief Gazette
Ed Von Aderkas- New, Sports, and Spoken Word Director CHRW
Ramon Sanchez- Western TV Coordinator

Speaker:Doug Bricknell

Recording Secretary:Jacob Kriszenfeld

5. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

Motion to amend agenda to add two 5 minute presentations, 1 for CHRW and 1 for the Gazette.

HELFAND/Henshaw/Carried.

Motion to adopt the agenda as amended.

GOODFIELD/Litchfield/Carried as amended.

6. RATIFICATION OF MINUTES OF PAST MEETINGS

Be it resolved that the minutes of the following meetings of the 2013/2014 and 2014/2015 University Students' Council be ratified:

Meeting #5 November 27, 2013
Meeting #6 January 22, 2014
Meeting #7 February 26, 2014
Special Meeting #1 March 5, 2014
Meeting #8 AGM I March 12, 2014
Meeting #8 AGM II March 15, 2014
Meeting #1 March 26, 2014

JENNINGS/Leung/Carried

Why are we ratifying so many meetings at this council meeting? Why are we doing it so late?

Shaw responded that Council is busy in the new year, Governance portfolio always gets behind. Summer meetings have traditionally ratified a majority of the minutes.

Can we find a way to avoid it in the future?

Shaw noted this for future meetings.

The Speaker reminds members about point of order etiquette.

7. SPEAKER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Speaker welcomed Council member to the Annual Summer meeting. Mentioned the honour to chair Council meetings and great to be here. The Speaker reminded Council that Deputy speakers and CRO applications are due on September 14. Travels forms for any voting members that have travelled for the summer council weekend are available. Speaker reminded that there will be seating in first 4 rows in new and dynamic setting. Also encouraged members to remember the Aretha Franklin rule RESPECT, and to please throw out all garbage, and respect each other in the middle of the heat. Let's have a great and fun year

8. MEMBERS' ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no member's announcements.

9. COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS FROM THE WESTERN COMMUNITY

There were no comments and questions from the Western community.

10. PRESENTATIONS TO COUNCIL

10.1 Gazette presentation

Ed and Iain from CHRW and the Gazette give presentation on the Gazette program and introduction to council, councillors are the heart of the students and will be approached for interviews, and the Gazette wants to hear from them.

(See Appendix 1 for the full presentation.)

10.2 Municipal Get out the Vote

Jen Carter, speaking in her capacity as Vice President External and President of OUSA, talks about the municipal get out the vote and how important students' influence is on the city.

(See Appendix #2 for the full presentation)

Questions

Between the two different proposals, one has more access to students but they are getting it started at beginning of year, which means it wont turn into 25,000 voters. What is ethicacy of the two plans in this regard?

Carter- Some benefit of getting mail as election happens. But since we are working through housing we can do it at no cost. So, the bus pass and info is not about notifying them to vote it's about giving them the ability to vote. This is also a very short timeline-- the election is the end of October while bus pass is the beginning of September.

Do we know how many students receive mail to the school addresses? What steps to make sure that the people will be following through with voting.

Carter- Disagrees that students get mail delivered to their houses in London based on Her information and the transient nature of moving. With mail-outs, they will mostly go to students' parents' house. OUSA get out the vote campaign garnered feedback about increasing student voter turnout, yes some students will have identification to prove London residency, but the value of having it on the bus pass is that it is a piece of information they use everyday. Keep in mind it is only costing 30 cents per student.

Any conversations with Fanshawe about whether or not we can work together minimize costs? Are they doing something similar?

Carter – In terms of the get out the vote campaign at the last municipal election, Fanshawe had an advanced poll and only 20 people showed up, resulting in lowest turnout. Difficult to work with them in the past based on previous projects, but that could change. Haven't reached out yet, but in terms of cutting costs we were going to put up a website and share with many organizations with shared interests. In terms of partnerships and shared interest, Jen can follow up with FSU

Motion to extend the presentation 5 minutes. LITCHFIELD/Helfand/Carried.

For 25000 students that we would print out something, would we print all 25000 and have them at bus pass pick up? Or can we print some and then print more, because OC students may not need it and some students who aren't 19 may not need it.

Carter- Age is 18 to vote, so majority of students can vote. Can't print passes in mass amounts, because of the logistical side and LTC's operations. Nick Vassiliou has had a large hand in bus pass pick up, and is working with Jen on how to execute the logistical side. Jen emphasizes the \$7500 with labour and the top end costs. We still could cut costs

and will so to the best of her ability. Estimated time is 1 minute to assemble one label, Jen believes its truly 20 seconds.

Does the estimate include equipment? Labels etc?

Carter- Yes, estimate includes all materials and equipment.

Nothing in budget estimate for cost of the website? Can we do that without costs.

Carter- Sharing with Emerging Leaders, the London Youth Advisory Council, and they have had someone volunteer to do the web posting. Cost of that to USC might be \$50-100 and covered under Jen's budget line.

Any labour on the website?

Carter- It will be a volunteer.

Would it be entered as 1 budget line? Or can we move it around to different budget lines?

Lalka- Introduce it all as one budget line, giving us flexibility so if we don't spend the exact amount we can then move it around.

Carter- Clarification to Council that if not all the \$7500 is used she will not retain those finances.

Should we move the discussion to a standing committee meeting how we should use the money?

Carter- Encourages not to go to standing committee. If it goes to standing committee the program can't succeed due to timing restrictions.

Motion to cap the speaker list at 3 members.

LEUNG/Suleman/Carried.

By spending money would the changes we enact within the political environment of London make a significant difference for student life?

Carter- The only way we can solidify that support is to enhance student voting turnout. Students dominate this riding, and our city councillor was not voted in by students. Jen can sit down with the politicians, but they work for the people who vote them in. Can become their best friends with city councillors, but if not supported by students the work cannot succeed. Having a local advocate on the floor that can vote on behalf of the student voice.

Bus pass line-up is a crazy time for a lot of students, will there be somebody at bus pass line-up to explain the concept of the program?

Carter- In terms of logistics, Matt, Lalka and Jen will make sure it is smooth. Nick knows the wait times based on his past experience and does not foresee any problems right now. In terms of people letting them know what's going on we will have volunteers and signage. City clerk office has volunteers who will be on campus already and used as a continuing resource to promote elections.

Why is there \$2500 for catering?

Jen- There will be city debate, mayoral debate, sometimes when you want to have a strong pull at the events you need to have food and also ensure politicians are fed. Projecting that we can gather 2500 members from the city 200 students coming out to the event, so pizza is not an ideal option,

Speaker reminds members that budget amendments can be dealt with in new business.

Motion to amend agenda to move the PSLPR presentation to immediately preceding Motion #2.

NASH/David/Carried.

11. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY & APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE REPORTS

(all Executive Reports can be seen: <http://westernusc.ca/councillors-corner/>)

Helfand asserted to Council that each Exec will do a brief presentation, and to please save all questions until the end. Carter gave her report, followed by Lalka, Addison, Kilgour, and Tithecott.

The Speaker opened the meeting to questions relating to the Executive Reports.

About bus pass execution, in regards to affiliates to we know how likely it is to happen? If so what are the details?

Helfand- Bus pass execution will be for professional schools not affiliates, since you pay less fees through affiliates you don't get extra service, professional schools are been neglected and underrepresented and they are a part of our services.

Can we ask questions after each executives' report?

Speaker- Noted for further presentations

Can you provide more info on the scheduling app for O-week and new club interface?

Kilgour- Presentation from OPC member to OPC called guidebook, and it is a conference app. We want everyone have equal attention, and this way everyone can see events and decide what event they would like to attend. Hopefully up and running mid august for

students. If you live in Elgin and SSC students, app will put all those events in your calendar after selecting both categories. We wanted to do an in house app, but not a possibility due to timing. No university has the variety of programming we have. Contract with collegiate link is expiring, (might be known as Western link).

Social media strategies mentioned for O-week are moving towards a single social media account. But since the other ones are active, should we still close or delete them? Incoming students may be following accounts that will disappear later.

Tithecott- O-week stuff will be phased out slowly eventually. It will RT Western and USC and eventually eliminated. A lot of services have strong individual social media presence.

There were multiple companies hoping to replace collegiate link, so will any be able to replace collegiate link before the new year so other clubs can get a look it? Will clubs have access to it before start of the year?

Kilgour- Too early to say if we stick with collegiate link it will be readily available, if it was with another company the timeline is unknown at this time.

Will the website be ready and accessible for student.

Tithecott- New website is not complete, should be up by end of September, email Senior Manager of Communications with changes or feedback.

12. WESTERN STUDENT SENATORS REPORT

This time last year, we didn't have a proper introduction until fall when all other Senators are here. Once we have complete Council, lots of diagrams coming everyone's way in the fall. Nikki Pilo, Chair of Senator, 14 Undergraduate Senators and 4 Graduate Senators. We sit with real people like Dr. Chakma. Some sit on USC during the year. Senate is very academic focus so approach a Senator with any questions. Previous VP Internal Sam had the idea of students advocating in one room frequently which Emily mentioned earlier. Looking to increase meetings between Executives and Senators with potential to bring in the BoG representatives. Priority survey once everyone comes in the fall, gather feedback and determine advocacy goals. Contact us if you want westernstudentsenators@gmail.com website. Get ready for a full proper presentation this coming fall.

<p>Motion to recess for 5 minutes. SINGH/Saravanabaven/Carried.</p>

13. POSTED MOTIONS

Motion #1: Policy Housekeeping

Whereas the Members and Council Policy became largely redundant with the passing of the Standing

Orders of Council;

Whereas there are certain provisions from the Members and Council Policy that are still relevant to Council;

Be it resolved that the Standing Orders of Council be amended as presented.

Be it further resolved that the Executive Officers' Salaries Procedure be amended as presented.

Be it further resolved that the Members and Council Policy cease to be a policy of the USC.

(See Appendices 1 and 2 for the Standing Orders of Council and the Executive Officers' Salaries Procedure)

CRICH/English/Carried. C14/15.2.1

Motion #1 was carried without debate.

10.2 Portfolio Service Level and Program Review Committee

Arjun Singh, Chair of the Committee, gives a presentation on the different services that will be reviewed this year. Asks for a Motion for Council to approve the 6 services to be reviewed.

Motion #2: PSL Review Schedule

Be it resolved that the Portfolio Service Level and Program Review Committee 2014/2015 conduct a formal review of the following programs:

Income Tax Clinic (report due September 7th, 2014)

Student Awards (report due October 7th, 2014)

Enviro Western (report due November 7th, 2014)

Theatre Western (report due November 25th, 2014)

Student Feedback (ChangeCamp) (report due January 7th, 2015)

Student Appeals Support Centre (report due February 7th, 2015)

SINGH/Nash/Carried. C14/15.2.2

Nash discussed the reasons behind the services being chosen. The six services are up there for various reasons including budgeting, urgency, and due diligence, which is why they are using the template. VPs and AVPs will talk to coordinators and gather other student feedback, using video calls /surveys, hitting as many students as possible and gathering what their thoughts are on the program.

Singh- Great part about committee will be the ability to interact with coordinators and AVPs.

When reports are given, will everything come into effect the following year?

Singh- Yes, recommendations for committee not for council.

What is your consultation process with the coordinators who are responsible prior to selecting the services.?

Singh- We selected committee chair as the last standing committee, so it was hard to gather some of the feedback. Considering this is the first time we are doing this type of consultation, when recommendations are made to next chair Singh will ensure coordinators and AVPs will be included.

Historically, did the committee attempt to review one service every month?

Singh- We restructured the committee, and last year only one program reviewed –PSS. Last year’s chair said no standard way to conduct reviews, but must find a way that each program gets equal recognition.

Do you feel confident you can assess all the programs- 1per month?

Singh- Yes, confident in the ability of committee members. Least urgent service is also the last service to be reviewed.

Any thought given to the three most controversial services – CHRW, PSN, and Western Film?

Lalka- CHRW is a separate organization of the USC.

Singh- Western film is an operation of the USC, PSN had problems with reviews last year but it was still reviewed last year, going to try the procedure to see if it works in different portfolios.

Grainger- The other reasons we started to make changes in PSN is we could not see trends as things changed.

What happens when you give AVP recommendations, do you follow up from them? And are there recommendations from last year?

Singh- Yes we look at report for whoever completed it and garner feedback. Then committee brings report to Council, and documents can be accessed on the website.

Long-term planning and budget committee would appreciate potential to collaborate on what services to review and ramifications of review as it has a lot of bearing on budget

committee work? Hopeful to collaborate since we have to determine allocation of budget to services.

Singh- Really looking to collaborate with any standing committee, including the outreach committee.

Lalka- Asks Speaker to promote collaboration between standing committees and move to debate.

Speaker repeats motion and promotes collaboration to Council.

Speaker calls to motion question.

Motion #2 was carried.

Motion #3- External Delegation Selection Amendment

Whereas, after consulting with the outgoing and incoming USC Presidents and VP Externals, there has been an expressed interest in increasing Councils involvement in external delegations;

Whereas, OUSA is for student representatives from member universities across Ontario to meet and set policies and, advocacy priorities for the provincial level;

Whereas, currently Western USC has a low level of direct Council external delegation involvement;

Whereas, traditionally the Chair of the Western Student Senators has been offered a spot on advocacy delegations;

Be it resolved that, the number Councilors elected for external delegations be increased from three to four;

Be it further resolved that, the Provincial and Federal Affairs Standing Committee Chair be an ex-officio member of the OUSA Delegation;

Be it further resolved that, the Local and Campus Affairs Standing Committee Chair be an ex-officio member of the Local Advocacy Week Conference Delegation;

Be it further resolved that, the Chair of the Western Student Senators be an ex-officio member of the OUSA Delegation and Local Advocacy Week Conference Delegation.

DAVID/Singh/Carried as amended. C14/15.2.3

(Refer to Motion # 3 Appendix 1 for amendments to By-Law #3, and Motion #1Appendix 2 for further explanation on delegation format changes.)

Davids advised Council that the goal and spirit behind motion is to enhance council representation. Last year, only 3/11 Council voting members attended the delegation conference. VP Internal picked other numbers. By increasing the delegation we are enhancing our voice at OUSA and voice of students. Members that will go most likely have already gone, but now it is being implemented.

Carter also noted that this is not increasing anyone's influence at OUSA. The USC will always have a make up is 12, no point in having VP External picking people and now there can be structure. Amir used to delegate 8 positions. No problems with the motion, reaffirming the people who will be going and taking some responsibilities out of Jen's hands.

During the AGM of the Western Student Senators, last year, changed terms of reference so chair is not automatic member of USC therefore opening up position to graduate students. Would it be a conflict if the chair were a graduate student?

Pilo- Chair at his or her discretion is allowed to pick someone to represent them in certain circumstance.

Can we amend wording to reflect Chair or Chair's undergraduate proxy?

Sookraj- Point of Information, Western Student Senator chair, as well as standing committee chair, and anyone in that authority have the power to appoint a proxy. We've accounted for the graduate issue on the USC and Senate side.

Does this giving an option require it? For example if there is a graduate serving as a chair, do they have the option to attend?

Carter- Not a good idea because there should be representation by an undergraduate student, graduate students don't pay to be a member of OUSA.

So do they have option, or must they appoint proxy?

Carter- Must have language ensuring that no graduate student can be a delegate, therefore must appoint proxy.

Be it resolved that the motion be amended to add "All members of the delegation must also be a member of OUSA." to the By-Law.

LITCHFIELD/Singh/Carried. C14/15.2.3.1

Pilo- Don't believe it is necessary nor policy reference, we define our own terms of reference internally. This can be defining the role of a Senator from the USC.

Carter- No interference with the policy, only to make sure we have the right people for future VPs. This doesn't hurt and its more for the executive officers.

Debate on Amendment

Arguments For	Arguments Against
Reason to propose amendment is to make our own policies self-sufficient. This ensures our own policy as a stand-alone can ensure people are qualified to serve on the delegation.	Prohibits VP Internal from serving since not student (noted that this may be irrelevant since VP External serves on OUSA board)

Speakers call the amendment to question.

Amendment #1 passes and debate returned to Motion #3.

Questions on Motion:

How does it help by sending extra delegate?

Carter-We are not sending more, it's proportionate representation. So they are adding 4 council members and it is more about the breakdown of the 12 members who can go.

Speaker calls to question the motion as amended.

Motion #3 is carried.

14. COUNCIL BUSINESS

14.1 Election of member to CHRW Board of Directors.

Nominees: Sussman, N.

Sussman was acclaimed.

Speaker announces Mr. Sussman is elected to CHRW Board of Directors

14.2 Election of Member to City of London Committees

Carter- City and executives would love to have you on committees, but please take it seriously and check schedules because attendance is important. If you don't attend meetings, someone who will attend will be appointed.

Can you name the times?

Carter- Posted online, but will read.

Nominees for Heritage Committee: English, Cabral
 Nominees for Diversity Committee: Saravanabavan, Solebo
 Nominees for Community Safety and Crime Prevention Committee: Singh

15. QUESTION PERIOD

There were no questions.

16. NEW BUSINESS

Litchfield- Permission for podium. Asks for a cone of silence as the next motion contains sensitive material related to a lawsuit.

Carter asks the Speaker to move all motions that require a cone of silence until after the other new business items.

New Business Motion #1

Whereas the USC has recognized the importance of students participating in the municipal election;

Be it resolved that the USC allocate \$10000 to the Municipal Get Out the Vote Campaign **and for the purposes of address verification via bus pass distribution.**

Be it further resolved that a report is presented to Council on how the allocated funds are spent.

EZZ/Bonofiglio/Carried as amended. C14/15.2.4

Carter- Point of information- if program comes in under budget, VP External will not keep the additional funds in portfolio

Speaker opens floor to debate.

Arguments for	Arguments against
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Carter’s focus on municipal advocacy and hard work and with 4 year election period rotations making this the ideal time - LYAC and Emerging Leaders, as well as the London plan, are examples of incredible things happening in the city that have never happened before. The Get out the Vote Campaign can be a part in London’s long-term plan.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We have some big moves with the city including sitting on LTC board. The USC should support budget and give them the money for a good initiative because it is important and the city will know that we are apart of the city. - Helps in mitigating damages in project learn, and other concerns about long-term sustainability
--	---

Be it resolved that the motion be amended to include the following:
 “and for the purposes of address verification via bus pass distribution.”
LEUNG/Goodfield/Carried. C14/15.2.4.1

Speaker opens up floor to debate on amendment.

No debate.

Speakers call amendment to question.

Amendment #1 is carried and debate returned to New Business Motion #1.

Dodgson- propose motion to amend that funds allocated be reduced by \$3500 to \$6500.

Speaker advises that the Amendment is not in spirit of the motion.

Sookraj- in spirit of Mr. Dodgson’s amendment, propose amendment to specify the plans of the budget lines

Carter- Can include her budget line to make it easier.

Will including the specific budget lines cause issues with reallocating money?

Carter- No change foreseen.

Be it resolved that the motion be amended to include the following:
 “Be it further resolved that a report is presented to Council on how the allocated funds are spent.”
SOOKRAJ/Nash/Carried. C14/15.2.4.2

Speaker opens floor to debate on amendment.

Speakers calls amendment to question

Amendment #2 passes and debate returned to New Business Motion #1.

**Motion to call the question.
CARMAN/English/Carried.**

The Speaker closed debate on New Business Motion #1.

New Business Motion #1 was carried.

New Business Motion #2

Whereas the 2013/2014 Council selected and approved that three policy papers be written by the 2014/2015 council on the topics of International Students, Teaching Quality and Public Private Partnerships,

Whereas a student group, Fair Trade Western, has requested that we create a policy paper on Fair Trade on campus,

Whereas, with the new advocacy paper policy, council must approve each paper before it can be written,

Be it resolved that the 2014/2015 Council write three policy papers on International Students, Teaching Quality and the third previously selected policy paper on Public Private Partnerships be changed to a paper on Fair Trade.

SARAVANABAVAN/Henshaw/Carried. C14/15.2.5

Addison- We passed a new advocacy paper policy last year and with that fair trade western had written their policy paper based on our policy paper but they changed it before we were able to bring it forward. Conducting a policy on National Students and Teaching Quality not possible since we will not have the man power to write one, same for a policy on Public-Private Partnerships. We do have the man power for a fair trade paper.

If we have one ready to go, do we need to take away one? We are opting fair trade in and putting out Public-Private Partnerships.

Addison- We have the option to write a 4th paper so if Council chooses to do so we can still create a policy on Public-Private Partnerships. Or Council can choose a whole new topic as VP Internal has no stake in the matters chosen.

Would it be difficult to do 3 and bring the other paper forward?

Addison- We would have to rewrite, so we could do 4 but we don't have the resources right now. Public-private partnerships lacks research.

Are we asking council to look into the paper?

Addison- There was a policy paper brought to Council but was tabled and didn't bring the policy paper back to council once procedure changed.

So we approve that we are going to look into this to do maybe do something about it?

Addison- That's a policy paper, and we need preapproval to see if we still want to create these policies.

We did fair trade paper last year, ready to go but needs revision, if we have that do we still need to use it as one of the three papers?

Addison- Paper not fully ready since structure changed, needs to be reworked, it's not mountains of work but it is still a review.

Carter- Advocacy group to write a policy paper on public private partnerships is difficult, would require a lot of research that is not readily available. Statistical information will be available next year, so this policy may be better for a later date.

If we were to approve the motion today, could council later motion to add another policy paper into the mix?

Addison- Yes we can if we decide later.

What sort of resources would we be looking at to write a public private partnership policy paper?

Addison- The USC would introduce advocacy student researchers and gather other feedback from stakeholders. Nick Soave and Matt would work to write the paper.

What is the deadline for policy papers to come to Council?

Carter- End of the year they have to pass.

Will they reopen student researcher and writer positions?

Addison- No solid answer, Nick Soave wants to do something, it won't be in place for a fair bit of time.

Carter- Advises the time commitment involved in writing policy papers.

Lalka- point of information, With the narrow scope of questioning can we end question period and move into debate?

Speaker- one more member on speaker list, then will move to debate.

Last year on PFA committee, Amir was tasked with different policy papers. So will this remove that opportunity?

Addison- If we add fair trade on as a 4th paper, yes. If we were to do all 4 of them, pick 3 now and come back at a later date and decide on the 4th since we don't have the man power to do 4 right now.

Crich- Council decided on these papers last year so when we decide papers they wont be in place until next year

Speaker opens the floor up to debate.

Arguments for	Arguments against
Policies are in line with our vision as an organization. International students and teaching quality have always been important issues. Key to tie up issues in fair value, public private partnerships needs more research and levels of government don't even have substantial policy on it therefore making sense to hold off on it for now	

Grainger- Council is agreeing on something we agreed on last year. Nothing has changed since and nothing has happened. Asks the speaker to call the motion to question.

**Motion to call to question
Grainger/Carman/Carried.**

The Speaker closed debate on New Business Motion #2.

New Business Motion #2 was carried.

Litchfield- motion to drop cone of silence and to move in camera.

**Motion to enter a confidential session to discuss a New Business motion and rise from the session when the motion has been discharged.
LITCHFIELD/Addison/Carried.**

Council entered the confidential session at 1:13pm and rose at 1:25pm.

14. COUNCIL BUSINESS (cont'd)

14.2 Results of the Youth Advisory Committee member elections

Mr. Kriszenfeld announces that elected members to the London Youth Advisory Committee

*Arjun Singh- Community Safety Committee
Jonathon English- Heritage Committee
Sujen Saravanabavan- Diversity Committee*

**Motion to destroy the ballots.
ADDISON/Yao/Carried.**

18. ADJOURNMENT

**Motion to adjourn.
ONETE/Ezz/Carried.**

The meeting adjourned at 1:27 p.m.

President

Recording Secretary

Approved on: _____

Sign In/Sign Out

<u>Name</u>	<u>Time In</u>	<u>Time Out</u>
Henshaw, Mark	9:25	
David, Heather	9:30	
Khan, Michaela	9:30	
Chen, Alex	9:30	1:00
Ezz, Moustafa	9:40	
Singh, Arjun	10:45	
Gil, Nicholas	11:00	